Biospectra: ISSN: 0973-7057, Vol. 15(1), March, 2020, pp. 27-32

An International Biannual Refereed Journal of Life Sciences



ISSN: 0973-7057

Int. Database Index: 663 www.mjl.clarivate.com

Nutritional habit of *Channa striatus* (Bloch) from the paddy field of Madhepura District, Bihar

Ranjana Kumari* & Arun Kumar

P.G. Department of Zoology, B.N.M. University, Madhepura, Bihar, India

Received: 10th December, 2019; Revised: 21st January, 2020

Abstract: Channa striatus (Bloch) has been gaining importance as a common nutrition rich fish. Mean RLG value was found to be highest in the size group of 5.0-10.0cm (0.55) and lowest in the size group of 21.1-25.0 cm. (0.46). The results reveal that the species fall in the carnivorous category. Gut content analysis reveal that zooplankton was the highest percentage (12.50) occurrence in July, insects was found to be highest (23.53%) in June. The highest percentage of crustaceans was observed in February (22), annelids was highest in April (7.40), molluscs occurred in April (3.70) and occurrence of fish in the gut was in September (55.56). The highest percentage of plant matter noticed in January (15.09) andmucks and unidentified components was observed in December (23.26). Analysis of gut contentrevealed that in fry stages most dominant food item was zooplankton (68.52%), followed by insect (25.2%), annelids (5.01%),crustacean (1.02%) and plant matter (0.25%). In juvenile the most preferred food item being insects (31.16%), followed by trash fishes (30.82%), crustaceans (19.82%), annelids (12.18%), plant matter (4%) and molluscs (2.02%). In adult, a strong positive selection was observed for fish (46.37%), insects (12.45%), mucks and unidentified material (12.04%), plant matter (10.12%), crustaceans (9.16%), annelids (6.84%) and molluscs (3.02%). Gastro somatic index (GSI) was ranged from 1.15 ±0.36 (August) to 5.14 ±1.76 (April) for males and that of female from 2.24 ±1.10 (December) to 9.52±3.91 (September).

Key words: Channa striatus, RLG, GSI, Gut content analysis

INTRODUCTION

The striped snakehead, *Channa striatus* (Bloch), distributed throughout the South-East Asian countries and has been identified as a potential species for rearing in paddy fields, derelict and swampy water as it is a hardy and air-breathing fish. The fish breeds during south-west and northeast monsoons in flooded rivers and ponds and frequently found in flooded rice-fields¹. Of freshwater food fishes, they are amongst the easiest to transport due to their air breathing abilities and hardness. Mortalities on transit are generally low. In local markets, they are kept in large

*Corresponding author: Phone: 9006991000

E-mail: ranjanabnmu@gmail.com

bins or tubs in large numbers in little water without aeration. Several works have been reported on the feeding of *Channa* species from outside India. Studied onfood and feeding ratios of the Amur snakehead, *Channa argus* in water bodies in the lower reaches of the Amu Darya² while studied on the linear regression model to predict cannibalistic in juvenile *Channa striatus*³. Further, recorded feeding intensity and dynamics of *Channa argus* under different illumination⁴. Again studied on the food and feeding habits of *C. punctatus* in beel environment of Bangladesh⁵. Several workers investigated food and feeding behaviour of *Channa* species in India. Among the mare: studied the feeding habits of the fingerlings of *Channa striatus*⁶, worked on utilization ofnatural food by

Biospectra: Vol. 15(1), March, 2020

An International Biannual Refereed Journal of Life Sciences

juvenile C. gachua⁷ and studied the food preference of the juvenile of Channa gachua⁸. Meanwhile, investigation of food spectrum and intensity, food preferences and seasonal variation of C. punctatus were reported^{9,10,11}. Again, Rao et al., (1998) investigated was madeon the food and feeding habits of *Channa* spp. from east Godavari District¹². Further, adaptation of alimentary tract to feeding habit in four species of *Channa* was also reported¹³. Although, over the last 10 years, its population has undergone a steady decline due to over exploitation, loss of habitat, pollution as well as destructive fishing. According to IUCN status¹⁴, it has been listed among the 66 LR-nt fish species in India. The fish is well known for its taste, high nutritive value and medicinal qualities and is recommended as a diet during convalescence¹⁵. The paper deals with the food and feeding habit of *Channa* striatus from certain paddy field and wetland of Madhepura district, Bihar.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The specimens of *C. striatus* were collected from paddy fields of Madhepura district of Bihar during August, 2018 to July, 2019. Food and feeding habits of *Channa striatus* were studied by examining a total of 645 digestive tracts. The guts were removed from the specimen after measuring and weighing each specimen to the nearest cm and gm respectively and were preserved in 5% formalin for subsequent analysis. The preserved guts were later uncoiled, cleaned off the attached fat and the length and weight were recorded.

Relative length of the gut (RLG): The ratio between the gut length and total length (RLG) was estimated by adopting the following formula¹⁶: Where, GL stands for total length of the gut and TL are the total length of the fish.

Feeding intensity (GSI): The feeding intensity or gastro-somatic index (GSI) was calculated using the following formula¹⁷.

$$GSI = \frac{Weight of the gut}{Total weight of the fish} \times 100$$

Gut content analysis: Gut content analysis was done for examining seasonal variation in diet components. Further, the data were analysed for different size group to record basic changes in feeding habit if any and in various

stages of growth. Both volumetric and occurrence methods were used for gut content analysis.

- (i) Volumetric method: The content of each sample was taken as a unit and various items are expressed as % volume by eye inspection¹⁸. The content of each gut was vigorously shaken with distilled water and then a drop of the content was examined under microscope. The area occupied by each food item was estimated arbitrarily. At least ten such drops were examined and the average of each of the drops was recorded.
- (ii) Occurrence method: In this method, the number of guts containing a particular item of food was expressed as a percentage of the total number of gut examined¹⁹. This method, apart from describing the qualitative analysis of the diet also gives the frequency of a particular food item occurred in the gut and thus helps to understand the preference of any particular food item.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

(a) Relative length of the gut (RLG): Mean RLG value was found to be highest (Table-1) in the size group 5.0-10.0cm (0.55) and lowest in the size group 21.1-25.0 cm. (0.46). This indicates a gradual decline in RLG values as the fish grows. The relative length of the gut in C. striatus was found to decrease with the increasing length of the fish indicating the change of feeding habit from omni-carnivorous diet in the fry stage to a highly carnivorous in the adult stage. Similar case was also noticed by²⁰ in *Ompok pabda*; and²¹ in *Notopterus notopterus* from different habitats and considered these fish species as carnivorous. A change in the feeding habit of fingerlings of Channa striatus was reported6. Furthermore, a change in feeding habit of Channa in different life stages is reflected in the RLG value at different length groups¹³. Shortened alimentary canal in adult fishes of C. barca was also observed by22. Thus the low RLG value in adult and high percentage of animal diet in food composition of C. striatus confirms that it has a high carnivorous type of feeding habit.

Table-1: RLG value in various length groups of C.striatus

Size group (cm)	RLG Value
5 - 10	0.55 (±0.02)
10.1 - 15	0.53 (±0.06)
15.1 - 20	0.50 (±0.02)
21.1 - 25	0.46 (±0.01)

(b) Gut content analysis: The percentage composition of food items in the gut of C. striatus as observed in different months has been summarized in the Table-2. The gut content of *C. striatus* have been group into 8 broad categories i.e. zooplankton, insects, crustaceans, annelids, molluscs, fishes, plant matter, mucks and unidentified components. It was seen that there were considerable variations in the percentage of different food items during different months of the year. Zooplankton was the highest percentage (12.50) occurrence in July and that of lowest (3.92) in June. Insects percentage varies from 13.95 (December) to 23.53 (June). The highest percentage of Crustaceans was observed in February (22) and lowest (10.05) in May. Percentage occurrence of Annelids was highest in April (7.40) and lowest in June (1.96). The highest percentage of molluscs occurred in April (3.70) and lowest in May (1.61). The highest percentage of occurrence of fish in the gut was in September (55.56) and lowest in December (20.93). The highest percentage of plant matter noticed in January (15.09) and that of lowest percentage in April (1.85). The highest percentage of mucks and unidentified components was observed in December (23.26) and lowest in February (2.0). Seasonal variation showed a slight variation in feeding habit which might be due to fluctuation in the availability of different food items in different season. Percentage occurrence of annelids and molluses was highest during pre-monsoon season while zooplankton and insects were also found to be the highest percentage during monsoon season. Again, the highest percentage of fish, crustaceans, plant matter

and mucks and unidentified components were observed during post monsoon and winter season. The main food items of *C. striatus* were insect eggs, gastropods and aquatic vegetation during summer and maximum food intake was recorded during post-monsoon and premonsoon and minimum in winter was reported⁹. Feeding was found to be intensive during February-May and August-October and low during June-July and November-January. From the present study it can be inferred that *C. striatus* changes its food habit with the change in seasons. These findings agree with those of²³ who reported that *Tilapia nilotica* changed its food habit with the change in season.

(c) Food composition in various life stages: Variation of food composition in various life stages is recorded in Table- 3 and the same is summarized below:-

Fry: Analysis of gut content revealed that in fry stages most dominant food item was zooplankton (68.52%), followed by insect (25.2%), annelids (5.01%), crustacean (1.02%) and plant matter (0.25%).

Juvenile: The most preferred food item being insects (31.16%), followed by trash fishes (30.82%) and crustaceans (19.82%). Among other items annelids (12.18%) are notable. Plant matter constituted only 4% of the gut content molluscs constituted 2.02%.

Adult: Fish was found to be the most dominant (46.37%) item in the gut. The next food items in order of preference were - insects (12.45%), mucks and unidentified material (12.04%), plant matter (10.12%), crustacean (9.16%), annelids (6.84%) and molluscs (3.02%).

Month	No. of fish	Zoopla	nkton	Insects	Crustaceans	Annelids	Molluscs	Fishes	Plant	Mucks and
	examined	Protozoa	Rotifers						Matter	others
Jan	53	-	5.66	16.78	18.86	=	-	28.30	15.09	15.09
Feb	50	-	8	16	22	-	-	32	20	2.0
Mar	45	4.44	6.67	20	17.78	=	-	35.56	11.11	4.44
Apr	54	-	-	20.37	18.52	7.40	3.70	48	1.85	-
May	62	6.45	-	19.35	10.05	4.84	1.61	48.02	9.68	-
Jun	51	-	3.92	23.53	17.65	1.96	-	43.14	5.58	3.92
Jul	56	3.57	8.93	17.86	14.19	-	-	46.43	5.36	3.57
Aug	58	-	-	20.69	17.24	-	3.45	51.73	3.45	3.45
Sep	63	-	-	19.05	20.63	-	-	55.56	4.76	-
Oct	60	5	6.67	20	13.33	-	-	50	-	5
Nov	50	-	-	18	14	-	-	24	20	24
Dec	43	-	9.30	13.95	18.60	=	-	20.93	13.95	23.26

Table 2: Seasonal variation of food items in C. striatus

Biospectra: Vol. 15(1), March, 2020

An International Biannual Refereed Journal of Life Sciences

The surface feeders feed on surface plants and animals, the mid or column feeders feed on subsurface food organisms and the bottom feeders feed on mud and decaying substances and also bottom flora and fauna was observed by²⁴. The dominant food item of *C. punctatus* as fish fingerlings and insects as well as carnivorous feeding nature was analyzed by^{9,10}. The juvenile of *C. striatus* like *C. punctatus* was mainly fed on the animal food viz. crustaceans, insects, molluscs and fishes⁵.

Table-3: Variation in food items (%) in different life stages of C. striatus

Food Items	Fry (0-5 cm)	Juvenile (5-10 cm)	Adult (<10 cm)
Zooplankton	68.52	-	-
Insects	25.2	31.16	12.45
Crustaceans	1.02	19.82	9.16
Annelids	5.01	12.18	6.84
Molluscs	-	2.02	3.02
Fishes	-	30.82	46.37
Plant matters	0.25	4	10.12
Mucks & unidentified components	-	-	12.04

Table-4: Average Gastrosomatic index (GSI) in various months

Months	Male	Female
August	1.15 (±0.36)	7.95 (±4.31)
September	4.29 (±1.88)	9.52 (±3.91)
October	4.17 (±1.72)	6.22 (±1.89)
November	2.05 (±0.83)	2.26 (±1.21)
December	2.04 (±0.88)	2.24 (±1.10)
January	2.72 (±0.66)	2.52 (±0.49)
February	3.15 (±0.65)	3.37 (±0.81)
March	3.14 (±1.03)	3.57 (±1.04)
April	5.14 (±1.76)	3.84 (±1.53)
May	3.14 (±1.03)	3.57 (±1.04)
June	2.07 (±0.84)	3.84 (±1.53)
July	2.87 (±1.22)	3.57 (±1.18)

(d) Gastrosomatic index (GSI): The observation on feeding intensity was based on gastrosomatic index (GSI) taken on monthly basis and the results have been summarized in Table- 4. It ranged from 1.15 ± 0.36 (August) to 5.14 ± 1.76 (April) for males and that of female from 2.24 ± 1.10 (December) to 9.52 ± 3.91 (September). Sharp rise and fall in the feeding intensity was noticed in various months. The GSI showed a steady increase from February-May onwards and then an abrupt fall was noticed in June-July. However, it increased once again during post monsoon months. The variation of GSI in females was more pronounced and they had high feeding intensity in August- September. During winter (November-January)

the GSI was found lower in both sexes. Feeding intensity in winter was low because the species hibernate underneath aquatic weeds and in mud. Another reason for low feeding intensity in winter because of their low metabolic rate as the fish is poikilo thermics animal. Further, the reduced feeding intensity in pre-monsoon month may be due to the development of gonads. The poor feeding during breeding season may be attributed to the development of gonad which occupies the major space of the abdominal cavity^{17,25,26}. Cannibalistic nature was also recorded specially when food availability was very low. Feeding intensity, however, improved after spawning was over in post monsoon months.

CONCLUSION

In the present study, mean RLG value was found to be maximum in the higher length group and minimum in the lower size group. The results indicate the species fall in the carnivorous category. There is also variation in the percentage composition of different items of food in the gut in different months. It can be inferred that C. striatus changes its nutritional habit with the change in seasons. The gut content analysis of *C. striatus* revealed a distinct variation in food intake of the species at different life stages. It revealed that in fry stages most dominant food item was zooplankton followed by insect, annelids, crustacean and plant matter. Similarly, in Juvenile insect was the most preferred food item, followed by trash fishes, crustaceans, annelids, plant matter and molluscs. Again in adult, fish was found to be the most dominant item in the gut and the next food items in order of preference were insects, mucks and unidentified material, plant matter, crustacean, annelids and molluses. Monthly variation of GSI in C. striatus revealed that the maximum feeding intensity in females was from August through October and lowest value was recorded in winter (November-January).

REFERENCES

- **1. Alikunhi, K. H., 1957**. *Fish Culture in India*. ICAR. New Delhi. 143 p.
- Guseva, L. N., 1990. Food and Feeding Ratios of Amur Snake head *Channa argus* Warpachowskii, in Water Bodies in Lower Reaches of Amu Darya. *J. Ichthyol.*, 30 (4): 11-21.
- 3. Qin-Jianguang & Fast, A. W., 1996. Size and Feed Dependent Cannibalism with Juvenile Snake head *Channa striatus. Aquacult.*, **144(4):** 313-320.
- Xie, Congxin, Xiong Chuan Xi, Zhou Jie, Wam Xim Miow, Jin Hin, Wax, X. M. & Jin, H., 1997. Feeding Intensity and Dynamics of Juveniles Northern Snakehead *Channa argus* under Different Illumination. *Acta Hydrobiol. Sin.*, 21(3): 213-218.
- Islam, M. N., Parvin, S., Hyder, F., Flowra, F. A. & Masud, A. A., 2004. Food and Feeding Habit of Juvenile *Channa punctatus* (Bloch) from a Semi Closed Water Body in Chalan Beel Flood plain, *Bangladesh J. Biol. Sci.*, 4(3): 352-356.

- Srivastava, P. S. & Srivastava, G. L., 1980. Studies on the Alimentary canal and Feeding Habits of the Fingerling of *Channa striatus* (Bloch). Zool. Biter., 26(1-3): 106-116.
- Krishnan, N. & Reddy, S. R., 1986. Experimental Studies on the Utilization of Natural Food by Juvenile Channa gachua (Pisces- Channidae). Matsya. 9-10: 96-99.
- 8. Reddy, P. B., 1988. Food and Feeding Habits of *Channa punctatus* (Bloch) from Godavari. *Indian J. Fish.*, 27(5): 123-129.
- Reddy, Y. S. & Rao, M. B., 1993. Food and Feeding Habits of *Channa punctatus* (Bloch) from Hussain sagar Lake Hyderabad. Proc. Second Indian Fish. Forum, Mangalore. 109-111.
- **10. Dutta, S. P. S., 1994.** Food and Feeding Habits of *Channa punctatus* (Bloch) inhabiting Gadigarh Stream, Jammu. *J. Freshwat. Biol.*, **6(4):** 333-336.
- 11. Bais, V. S., Thakuram, S. S. & Agarwal, N. C., 1994. Food and Feeding Activity of *Channa punctatus* (Bloch). *J.Freshwat. Biol.*, 6(3): 247-251.
- Rao, K. S., Karth, K. N., Gupta, D.R., Srivastava, S., Choubey, U. & Pandaya, S. S., 1998. Studies on Morphometric, Hydrobiological and Sediment Characteristics in Relation to its Fisheries. *J. Inland Fish. Soc. India*, 22 (1 & 2): 55-65.
- **13. Dasgupta, M., 2000.** Adaptation of the Alimentary Tract to Feeding Habits in Four Species of Fish of the Genus *Channa. Indian J. Fish.*, **43(3):** 265-269.
- **14. Molur, S. & Walker, S., 1998.** Report of the Workshop on "Conservation Assessment and Management Plan (CAMP) for Freshwater Fishes of India"; Lucknow, 22-26 Sept. 1997.
- 15. Haniffa, M. A., Marimuthu, K., Nagarajan, M., Arokiaraj, A. J. & Kumar, D., 2004. Breeding Behaviour and Parental Care of the Induced Bred Spotted Murrel *Channa punctatus* under Captivity. *Curr. Sci.*, 86: (10): 1375-1376.
- **16. Al-Hussainy**, **A. H.**, **1949**. On the Functional Morphology of the Alimentary Tract of Some Fishes

Biospectra: Vol. 15(1), March, 2020

An International Biannual Refereed Journal of Life Sciences

- in Relation to Differences in Their Feeding Habits. *Quart. J. Micr. Sci.*, **9(2):** 190-240.
- **17. Desai, V. R., 1970.** Studies on the Fishery and Biology of *Tor tor* (Ham.) from River Narmada. *J. Inland Fish. Soc. India*, **2:** 101-112
- **18.** Pillay, T. V. R., 1952. A Critique of the Methods of Study of the Food of Fishes. *J.Zool. Soc. India*, **4(2)**: 185-200.
- **19. Hynes, H. B. N., 1950.** The Food of Fresh Water Sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* and *Pygosteus pungitius*), with a Review of Methods used in Studies of the Food of Fishes. *J. Anim. Ecol.*, **19:** 26-58.
- **20. Bhuiyan, A. S. & Islam, M. N., 1991.** Observation on the Food and Feeding Habit of *Ompok pabda* (Ham.) from The River Padma. *Pak. J. Zool.*, **23:** 75-77.
- Hossain, M. A., Parween, S., Taleb, A. & Rahman, M. H., 1990. Food and Feeding Habit of *Notopterus notopterus* (Pallas). *Univ. J. Zool.*, Rajshahi Univ., 9: 1-6.

- **22. Choudhury, M., 2004.** Some Aspects of the Biology and Ecology of *Channa barca* (Ham.) Ph. D. Thesis, Dibrugarh University, Assam.
- **23. Dewan, S. & Saha, S. N., 1979.** Food and Feeding Habit of *Tilapia nilotica* (L). II. Diel and Seasoned Pattern of Feeding. *Bangladesh J. Zool.*, **7:** 75-80.
- 24. Das, H. P. & Moitra, S. K., 1955. Feeding Habits of the Fresh Water Fishes of Uttar Pradesh. *Curr. Sci.*, 24: 417-418.
- **25.** Menon, M. D., 1950. Bionomics of Poor Cod (*Gadus minutus*) in the Plymouth Area. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc.*, *U. K.* **29:** 195-239.
- 26. Pathak, S. C., 1975. Length-Weight Relationship, Condition Factor and Food Study of *Labeo calbasu* (Ham.) From Loni Reservoir (M.P.). *J. Inland Fish.* Soc. India, 8: 58-64.
