



ISSN : 0973-7057

Int. Database Index: 616 [www.mjl.clarivate.com](http://www.mjl.clarivate.com)

## Assessment of physical, chemical and heavy metal contamination in ground water of Madhepura, Bihar

Arti Kumari<sup>a</sup>, Kumar Ramashankar<sup>a</sup> & Arun Kumar<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Zoology, P. Sc. College, B. N. Mandal University, Madhepura, Bihar, India

<sup>b</sup>University Department of Zoology, B. N. Mandal University, Madhepura, Bihar, India

Received : 3<sup>rd</sup> June, 2022 ; Revised : 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

**Abstract-** There are many links between water quality and chronic diseases. People's health and the social and economic growth of a country can be affected by the quality of the water they drink. Because of this, it is essential to look at water's physical and chemical quality. For example, it would be possible to find out how much heavy metal is in water sources. To learn about groundwater pollution in this area, groundwater samples from different places were tested for pH, conductivity, alkalinity, TDS, total hardness, calcium, chloride, magnesium, and fluoride. Samples of water were also tested for heavy metals. Different tube wells were used to get samples.

**Key words:** Ground water, physic-chemical parameters, Madhepura.

### INTRODUCTION

Both urban and rural communities in India rely heavily on ground water as their primary source of potable water, making it an essential resource. Since the beginning of human history, people all over the world have used water from the ground as a source of drinking water, and even now, more than half of the world's population relies on ground water for their existence.<sup>1</sup> The value of ground water is not only based on the fact that it is abundantly present and accessible, but also on the fact that it maintains a consistently high quality, which makes it an excellent option for a source of drinking water. The constituents of the soil, rocks, and plants that are immediately in touch with ground water are potential contaminants since ground water is in direct contact with these sources. Studies on ground water must pay particular attention to the evaluation

of water quality as one of their top priorities.<sup>2</sup> The Madhepura District is home to a significant amount of agricultural output, although the majority of the region's water requirements, including those for irrigation and drinking, are met by groundwater. Due to the fact that the pace of underground water withdrawal is larger than the rate of recharging, the water levels in aquifers are decreasing at an alarming rate. As a result, this region has begun to face a significant dilemma regarding the availability of safe drinking water. Because of this, it is essential to perform routine checks on the quality of the water at regular intervals.

In this study, an effort was made to evaluate the quality of groundwater based on physicochemical criteria in order to assess a variety of water quality parameters. This evaluation was carried out as part of this study. The research was carried out over three different sections of the district.

\*Corresponding author :

Phone : 9006991000

E-mail : [prf.arunkumar@gmail.com](mailto:prf.arunkumar@gmail.com)

In addition, contamination of the aquatic environment by heavy metals has caught the attention of people all over the world due to the abundance, permanence, and environmental toxicity of these contaminants.<sup>3,4</sup> The purpose of this study is to make an attempt to analyse the quality of the water as well as the concentration of trace elements that can be found in the ground water in the study area.

Following the completion of the project, an analysis of the water quality will reveal whether or not the ground water in the area under investigation is chemically acceptable for drinking purposes.

**MATERIALS & METHODS**

**Study Area**

The Study was conducted by taking samples from Borewell of following villages of the district.

| District  | Block     | Village              |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| Madhepura | Murliganj | Belo & Bishunpur     |
|           | Alamnagar | Alamnagar & Barhauna |
|           | Madhepura | Bhelwa & Barahi      |

**Collection of water samples**

The physicochemical assessment of groundwater quality, samples were taken in a planned way. From 2019 to 2021, water samples were taken from 36 different points

in 6 villages using tube wells and hand pumps in the summer, winter, before, and after the monsoon. The samples were collected in clean, sterile polythene bottles as groups. Before taking a sample, groundwater was used to wash out plastic bottles. Before being looked at, the samples were kept at a temperature below 4°C. A physicochemical analysis was done on 15 parameters, including pH, conductivity, TDS (total dissolved solids), TH (total hardness), TA (total alkalinity), Bicarbonate Alkalinity, and the major ions Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, fluoride, and chloride. Reagents of analytical grade were used to test samples, and solutions were made with water that had been distilled twice. This was done to make sure that the samples were taken really showed what the groundwater was like in the chosen area.

Samples from target areas were selected for heavy metal analysis and sent Laboratory. Analysis was done for determination of potential heavy metals i.e. Iron, Cadmium, Copper, Zinc, Manganese and Lead.

**RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

The quality of ground water depends on its physicochemical properties like TDS, pH, fluoride, alkalinity and presence of minerals as well as heavy metals. Present study involves measurement of physicochemical properties and potential heavy metals.<sup>5</sup>

**Table 1- Physico chemical characteristics of ground water of Village Belo Block, Murliganj**

| Year | Period      | pH  | EC   | TDS  | TA  | TH  | Ca <sup>2+</sup> | Mg <sup>2+</sup> | Cl <sup>-</sup> | F <sup>-</sup> |
|------|-------------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 2019 | Pre monsoon | 8.5 | 1244 | 982  | 622 | 489 | 290              | 198              | 67              | 2.4            |
|      | Monsoon     | 8.2 | 994  | 774  | 518 | 343 | 215              | 129              | 30              | 1.2            |
|      | Winter      | 8.3 | 1124 | 840  | 562 | 431 | 256              | 175              | 55              | 1.8            |
|      | Summer      | 8.4 | 1236 | 907  | 618 | 470 | 286              | 184              | 62              | 2.1            |
| 2020 | Pre monsoon | 8.7 | 1262 | 1002 | 631 | 497 | 295              | 201              | 69              | 2.6            |
|      | Monsoon     | 8.2 | 902  | 796  | 451 | 331 | 205              | 127              | 35              | 1.7            |
|      | Winter      | 8.4 | 1202 | 859  | 601 | 457 | 281              | 176              | 59              | 2.2            |
|      | Summer      | 8.5 | 1256 | 924  | 628 | 476 | 290              | 185              | 67              | 2.4            |
| 2021 | Pre monsoon | 8.7 | 1252 | 961  | 640 | 497 | 291              | 206              | 68              | 2.3            |
|      | Monsoon     | 8.3 | 912  | 754  | 456 | 331 | 196              | 135              | 49              | 1.8            |
|      | Winter      | 8.5 | 1064 | 817  | 532 | 392 | 235              | 157              | 56              | 2.1            |
|      | Summer      | 8.6 | 1206 | 886  | 603 | 473 | 278              | 195              | 65              | 2.7            |

**Table 2- Physico chemical characteristics of ground water of Village Bishunpur Block, Murliganj**

| Year | Period      | pH  | EC   | TDS | TA  | TH  | Ca <sup>2+</sup> | Mg <sup>2+</sup> | Cl <sup>-</sup> | F <sup>-</sup> |
|------|-------------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 2019 | Pre monsoon | 7.9 | 1376 | 668 | 438 | 236 | 160              | 76               | 51              | 1.3            |
|      | Monsoon     | 7.5 | 976  | 463 | 238 | 122 | 89               | 33               | 28              | 0.9            |
|      | Winter      | 7.7 | 1188 | 530 | 344 | 191 | 135              | 56               | 40              | 1.2            |
|      | Summer      | 7.9 | 1336 | 592 | 418 | 228 | 157              | 71               | 51              | 1.3            |
| 2020 | Pre monsoon | 8.1 | 1428 | 693 | 464 | 267 | 176              | 91               | 58              | 1.5            |
|      | Monsoon     | 8.1 | 1416 | 691 | 458 | 285 | 188              | 96               | 59              | 1.5            |
|      | Winter      | 7.6 | 1126 | 488 | 313 | 151 | 106              | 45               | 36              | 1.2            |
|      | Summer      | 7.9 | 1288 | 556 | 394 | 202 | 124              | 78               | 41              | 1.3            |
| 2021 | Pre monsoon | 8.0 | 1755 | 618 | 448 | 255 | 172              | 84               | 56              | 1.4            |
|      | Monsoon     | 8.1 | 1394 | 648 | 447 | 271 | 180              | 91               | 63              | 1.7            |
|      | Winter      | 7.6 | 984  | 445 | 242 | 133 | 110              | 24               | 39              | 1.2            |
|      | Summer      | 7.8 | 1330 | 513 | 415 | 231 | 155              | 77               | 42              | 1.4            |

**Table 3- Physico chemical characteristics of ground water of Village Alamnagar Block, Alamnagar**

| Year | Period      | pH  | EC   | TDS | TA  | TH  | Ca <sup>2+</sup> | Mg <sup>2+</sup> | Cl <sup>-</sup> | F <sup>-</sup> |
|------|-------------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 2019 | Pre monsoon | 7.7 | 1446 | 779 | 473 | 216 | 130              | 85               | 41              | 1.0            |
|      | Monsoon     | 7.5 | 1294 | 639 | 399 | 176 | 119              | 57               | 31              | 1.0            |
|      | Winter      | 7.7 | 1412 | 694 | 456 | 211 | 128              | 83               | 40              | 1.0            |
|      | Summer      | 7.9 | 1390 | 805 | 445 | 239 | 145              | 94               | 67              | 1.3            |
| 2020 | Pre monsoon | 7.4 | 1020 | 601 | 260 | 97  | 65               | 31               | 32              | 1.0            |
|      | Monsoon     | 7.6 | 1224 | 663 | 368 | 165 | 106              | 59               | 49              | 1.2            |
|      | Winter      | 7.7 | 1356 | 721 | 428 | 213 | 133              | 79               | 59              | 1.3            |
|      | Summer      | 7.9 | 1428 | 755 | 464 | 248 | 152              | 96               | 65              | 1.4            |
| 2021 | Pre monsoon | 7.5 | 968  | 551 | 234 | 70  | 57               | 14               | 27              | 0.6            |
|      | Monsoon     | 7.8 | 1234 | 619 | 367 | 161 | 108              | 53               | 54              | 1.3            |
|      | Winter      | 7.8 | 1234 | 619 | 367 | 161 | 108              | 53               | 54              | 1.3            |
|      | Summer      | 7.9 | 1372 | 676 | 436 | 233 | 140              | 93               | 64              | 1.4            |

**Table 4- Physicochemical characteristics of ground water of Village Barhauna Block, Alamnagar**

| Year | Period      | pH  | EC   | TDS  | TA  | TH  | Ca <sup>2+</sup> | Mg <sup>2+</sup> | Cl <sup>-</sup> | F <sup>-</sup> |
|------|-------------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 2019 | Pre monsoon | 6.1 | 1556 | 1308 | 528 | 348 | 212              | 137              | 97              | 1.6            |
|      | Monsoon     | 5.6 | 1176 | 1096 | 338 | 170 | 95               | 75               | 45              | 0.6            |
|      | Winter      | 5.8 | 1396 | 1158 | 448 | 270 | 160              | 110              | 63              | 1.4            |
|      | Summer      | 6.0 | 1520 | 1216 | 510 | 324 | 201              | 123              | 83              | 1.5            |
| 2020 | Pre monsoon | 6.2 | 1590 | 1330 | 545 | 361 | 219              | 143              | 94              | 1.9            |
|      | Monsoon     | 5.8 | 1190 | 1123 | 369 | 192 | 106              | 87               | 40              | 1.1            |
|      | Winter      | 6.0 | 1418 | 1192 | 459 | 278 | 164              | 114              | 60              | 1.6            |
|      | Summer      | 6.1 | 1540 | 1250 | 520 | 334 | 200              | 134              | 90              | 1.9            |
| 2021 | Pre monsoon | 5.8 | 1198 | 1085 | 349 | 188 | 100              | 88               | 46              | 1.1            |
|      | Monsoon     | 6.1 | 1438 | 1156 | 469 | 273 | 162              | 111              | 54              | 1.4            |
|      | Winter      | 6.2 | 1550 | 1215 | 525 | 335 | 202              | 134              | 94              | 1.7            |
|      | Summer      | 6.1 | 1540 | 1250 | 520 | 334 | 200              | 134              | 90              | 1.9            |

**Table 5- Physicochemical characteristics of ground water of Village Bhelwa Block, Madhepura**

| Year | Period      | pH  | EC   | TDS | TA  | TH  | Ca <sup>2+</sup> | Mg <sup>2+</sup> | Cl <sup>-</sup> | F <sup>-</sup> |
|------|-------------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 2019 | Pre monsoon | 7.7 | 1102 | 694 | 301 | 170 | 98               | 64               | 55              | 1.6            |
|      | Monsoon     | 7.3 | 776  | 480 | 138 | 34  | 39               | 27               | 31              | 0.9            |
|      | Winter      | 7.5 | 964  | 553 | 232 | 95  | 54               | 33               | 40              | 1.4            |
|      | Summer      | 7.6 | 1074 | 603 | 287 | 151 | 86               | 57               | 48              | 1.6            |
| 2020 | Pre monsoon | 7.9 | 1124 | 720 | 312 | 184 | 109              | 67               | 58              | 1.7            |
|      | Monsoon     | 7.4 | 808  | 506 | 154 | 44  | 47               | 40               | 33              | 1.1            |
|      | Winter      | 7.6 | 994  | 579 | 247 | 110 | 57               | 44               | 43              | 1.5            |
|      | Summer      | 7.8 | 1088 | 629 | 294 | 149 | 80               | 60               | 53              | 1.6            |
| 2021 | Pre monsoon | 7.9 | 1144 | 673 | 322 | 193 | 112              | 73               | 57              | 1.8            |
|      | Monsoon     | 7.5 | 798  | 459 | 155 | 51  | 45               | 37               | 39              | 1.2            |
|      | Winter      | 7.7 | 1004 | 532 | 252 | 110 | 62               | 40               | 41              | 1.5            |
|      | Summer      | 7.9 | 1104 | 584 | 302 | 159 | 85               | 65               | 52              | 1.7            |

**Table 6- Physicochemical characteristics of ground water of Village Barihi Block, Madhepura**

| Year | Period      | pH  | EC   | TDS  | TA  | TH  | Ca <sup>2+</sup> | Mg <sup>2+</sup> | Cl <sup>-</sup> | F <sup>-</sup> |
|------|-------------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 2019 | Pre monsoon | 8.6 | 1000 | 980  | 250 | 465 | 260              | 205              | 210             | 0.9            |
|      | Monsoon     | 8.1 | 618  | 771  | 59  | 145 | 99               | 47               | 120             | 0.4            |
|      | Winter      | 8.4 | 828  | 840  | 164 | 308 | 175              | 133              | 145             | 0.6            |
|      | Summer      | 8.5 | 882  | 888  | 191 | 408 | 217              | 191              | 198             | 0.9            |
| 2020 | Pre monsoon | 8.7 | 1072 | 1009 | 286 | 489 | 275              | 214              | 221             | 1.2            |
|      | Monsoon     | 8.3 | 608  | 793  | 76  | 133 | 99               | 35               | 121             | 0.7            |
|      | Winter      | 8.5 | 934  | 869  | 217 | 332 | 182              | 150              | 156             | 1.1            |
|      | Summer      | 8.8 | 1060 | 918  | 280 | 465 | 256              | 209              | 206             | 1.2            |
| 2021 | Pre monsoon | 8.8 | 1084 | 966  | 292 | 533 | 297              | 237              | 232             | 1.3            |
|      | Monsoon     | 8.4 | 626  | 750  | 63  | 135 | 98               | 37               | 129             | 1.1            |
|      | Winter      | 8.6 | 942  | 825  | 221 | 351 | 193              | 158              | 162             | 1.2            |
|      | Summer      | 8.8 | 1044 | 873  | 272 | 493 | 279              | 214              | 211             | 1.3            |

Table 7- Physico chemical Parameters and trace heavy metals in Project area

| Sl. No. | Location              | Year | Parameters |     |     | Trace Heavy Metal |    |      |      |      |      |
|---------|-----------------------|------|------------|-----|-----|-------------------|----|------|------|------|------|
|         |                       |      | DO         | BOD | COD | Fe                | Cd | Cu   | Zn   | Mn   | Pb   |
| 1       | Belo (Murliganj)      | 2019 | 5.8        | 3.2 | 4.1 | 0.14              | ND | ND   | 0.01 | ND   | 0.31 |
|         |                       | 2020 | 5.4        | 2.2 | 4.4 | 0.13              | ND | ND   | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.34 |
|         |                       | 2021 | 5.3        | 3.7 | 4.6 | 0.13              | ND | ND   | 0.02 | ND   | 0.32 |
| 2       | Bishunpur (Murliganj) | 2019 | 6.1        | 3.2 | 3.4 | 0.17              | ND | 0.01 | ND   | ND   | 0.28 |
|         |                       | 2020 | 6.7        | 3.2 | 3.8 | 0.16              | ND | 0.03 | ND   | 0.02 | 0.29 |
|         |                       | 2021 | 6.8        | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.11              | ND | 0.04 | 0.13 | ND   | 0.21 |
| 3       | Alamnagar (Alamnagar) | 2019 | 6.5        | 2.7 | 5.1 | 0.12              | ND | ND   | 0.12 | ND   | ND   |
|         |                       | 2020 | 6.4        | 2.5 | 5.2 | 0.17              | ND | ND   | 0.11 | 0.03 | ND   |
|         |                       | 2021 | 6.3        | 2.1 | 5.3 | 0.14              | ND | ND   | 0.18 | ND   | 0.41 |
| 4       | Barhauna (Alamnagar)  | 2019 | 5.7        | 1.4 | 5.8 | 0.15              | ND | ND   | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.38 |
|         |                       | 2020 | 5.1        | 1.5 | 5.9 | 0.16              | ND | ND   | 0.21 | ND   | 0.42 |
|         |                       | 2021 | 5.2        | 1.6 | 6.1 | 0.13              | ND | ND   | ND   | ND   | ND   |
| 5       | Barihi (Madhepura)    | 2019 | 5.4        | 1.7 | 4.1 | 0.14              | ND | ND   | ND   | 0.02 | ND   |
|         |                       | 2020 | 6.3        | 1.8 | 4.3 | 0.11              | ND | ND   | ND   | ND   | ND   |
|         |                       | 2021 | 6.2        | 2.1 | 3.7 | 0.09              | ND | ND   | ND   | 0.01 | ND   |
| 6       | Bhelwa (Madhepura)    | 2019 | 6.3        | 2.4 | 2.6 | 0.14              | ND | ND   | 0.12 | ND   | 0.21 |
|         |                       | 2020 | 6.8        | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.12              | ND | ND   | 0.15 | ND   | 0.22 |
|         |                       | 2021 | 6.4        | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.16              | ND | ND   | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.25 |

The physicochemical properties of ground water, such as total dissolved solids (TDS), pH, fluoride, alkalinity, and the presence of minerals and heavy metals, all contribute to the water's overall quality. The physicochemical properties and possible levels of heavy metals are being measured for this investigation at the moment.<sup>5</sup> As a result of the presence of carbonates and bicarbonates, the pH of all of the groundwater samples obtained from bore wells was mildly alkaline to alkaline. Even though the values are within the parameters set by the WHO, it is not recommended for the disinfection of drinking water with chloride and, as a result, the quality of the water that is consumed is negatively affected. The groundwater samples with high EC had a greater TDS than the other samples. TDS value of each and every ground water sample collected in the target area, Because it alters the flavour of the water, a higher TDS value (one that is greater than 1000 mg/L) is regarded as being of a worse quality.

Total hardness was found to vary between 54 to 533 mg/L. None of the samples crosses the maximum permissible limits of 500 mg/L of WHO standards. BIS (Bureau of Indian Standard)<sup>6</sup> has recommended a desirable limit of 250 mg/L of chloride in drinking water. However, groundwater having a concentration of chloride of more than 1000 mg/L is not suitable for drinking purposes. In our study, chloride was found below the permissible limit in most of the sites, which can be obviously linked to the

solidity of the soil. The range of chloride content was within 31 and 232 mg/L. The alkalinity of the samples is in the range of 59-640 mg/L. The alkalinity levels of all the water samples are high, thus, resisting acidification of the groundwater samples.

Fluoride (F<sup>-</sup>) concentration over and above the permissible limits (1.5 mg/l) in drinking water leads to human health hazards, such as dental and skeletal fluorosis, affecting millions of people in many parts of India. The source of fluoride in the groundwater is mainly from geological occurrences (i.e. fluoride-bearing minerals, viz. apatite and biotitic mica). Some of the samples show the amount a little high.

Heavy metal pollution in drinking water is one of the most serious problems in many developing countries, so checking the quality of water for the presence of heavy metal is very important. Heavy metals were not detected at above said level in any sample of water which suggests these heavy metals in collected water samples are present within the permissible level as per WHO guidelines.

## CONCLUSION

The groundwater plays crucial role as a decentralized source of drinking water for millions rural and urban families in Madhepura region. On the basis of higher conductivity and TDS values the groundwater quality is classified as hard water and the higher concentration of salts is injurious

to those people suffering from diseases of heart or kidney. Apart from TDS values all other parameters and potent heavy metals are within permissible limits. Fluoride level is found to be little higher in some cases.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Department of Zoology, P. Sc. College and University Department of Zoology, B. N. Mandal University, Madhepura, Bihar, for providing necessary facilities and guidance.

#### REFERENCE

1. **Jain C. K., Bandyopadhyay A. & Bhadra A. 2010.** Assessment of ground water quality for drinking purpose, District Nainital, Uttarakhand, India. *Environ Monit Assess.* **166**:663-676.
2. **Jha A. N. and Verma P. K. 2000.** Physico-chemical property of drinking water in town area of Godda district under Santal Pargana. Bihar. *Poll. Res.* **19(2)**: 245-247.
3. **Mittal S. K., Rao A. L., Singh and Kumar R. 1994.** Groundwater Quality of some areas in Patiala city. *Indian J. Environ. Hlth.* **36**: 51-53.
4. **Rajankar P. N., Tambekar D. H., Wate S.R. 2011.** Seasonal Variation in Groundwater Quality of Yavatmal District, India, *E-Journal of Chemistry.* **8(2)**: 870-874.
5. **Sharma S. and R. Mathur. 1995.** Seasonal changes in groundwater quality in Gwalior: health risk assessment, *Poll. Res.* **14(4)**: 373-376.
6. Indian Standards of Drinking Water, Specification (First Revision) IS-10500:1991 BIS, New Delhi, India.

\*\*\*

