



ISSN : 0973-7057

Int. Database Index: 616 www.mjl.clarivate.com

Review study on altitudinal zonation of floral and faunal species, its richness and distribution

Narayan Lal Choudhary^a, Nadim Chishty^{a*} & Puneet Sharma^b

^aWildlife, Limnology & Toxicology Research Laboratory, Department of Zoology, Government Meera Girl's College, Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

^bDepartment of Life Sciences, Aryan College Ajmer, Rajasthan, India

Received : 15th January, 2021 ; Revised : 17th February, 2021

Abstract-Many floral and faunal species are restricted in a particular narrow zone of habitat characteristically in mountain ecosystem. The mountain ecosystem acts as an isolated and unique habitat, and sometimes functions as an isolated island like wetland natural ecosystem. Isolated or higher altitudinal ranges create unique habitat for a range of floral and faunal species and increases the degree of endemism as compared to other habitat which can be more vulnerable to local extinction and very sensitive towards topographical changes in a particular habitat. In this regard a collective effort was needed to review the altitudinal zonation in terms of floral and faunal species richness and distribution. During present review studies it has been found that biotic and abiotic factors influences distribution and diversity pattern of floral and faunal species from low elevation level to higher elevation level. Higher altitudinal survival species are very sensitive towards micro changes in their habitat which can affect them adversely. In present time many anthropogenic activities (like mining in hill areas, deforestation, poaching etc.) and natural disasters (like forest fires on mountains, landslides etc.) leads to unfavorable and irreversible alteration in floral and faunal species mountain ecosystem and at certain time vanish them at all. It has been reviewed that variation in altitudinal ranges also affect breeding biology, morphological and physiological adaption in floral and faunal communities and create wide range of diverse habitat and ecosystems.

Key words: Mountain ecosystem, altitude, endemism, adaption, habitat

INTRODUCTION

Biodiversity encompasses the wide variety and variability of living life forms in an ecosystem and ecological processes, at all levels of biological organization and its foundation for human survival and economic well being.¹ Biodiversity closely linked with human demands for food, fuel, fodder, fiber, medicine, oil, timbers and resins² and are also helpful and essential for maintaining

climatic stability, pollution control, water and soil conservation, nutrient and mineral recycling, ground water recharging, pollination and recreation values of that particular region.³⁻⁵ Biodiversity and ecosystem stability and sustainability mainly depends upon productivity and utilization rate of resources by human beings in recent and past years.⁶⁻⁸ Low productivity and higher exploitation rate of resources increases risk of loss of biodiversity and shrinkage of natural ecosystem which creates imbalance amongst various ecosystems and their food chains and food web. Variation in abiotic environmental factors like-

*Corresponding author :

Phone : 7976914733, 9799146756

E-mail : nadimchishty@gmail.com

temperature, rainfall, humidity and wind velocity largely influence the distribution and type of species and vegetation composition. Floral and faunal distribution and its density is also affected by spatial, temporal, latitudinal and altitudinal variations at different geographic regions.⁹⁻

¹¹ Altitudinal variation show four types of species distribution and richness patterns namely decreasing at low plateau, low plateau with mid elevation peak; with increase in elevation species richness, pattern and number decreases and generally species richness trends increases with higher elevation levels.¹²⁻¹⁴

In the present review study, a compressive overview that effects altitudinal variation on species distribution, density and diversity in relation to various altitudinal and latitudinal ranges was done which can be enumerated as :-

1. EFFECT OF ELEVATION RANGES ON VEGETATION COMPOSITION:- The evolution and composition of vegetation in a particular region is usually decided by several factors like- time, slope, aspect of elevation level, humidity and seasonal or annual precipitation. All these factors also changes with altitudinal ranges, which lead to climatic and spatial changes among animal and plant community at various elevation levels.^{15,16} Altitudinal ranges greatly influence diversity and abundance of plant community at various geographical ranges⁸, with strong impact of elevation on vegetation structure of most of the mountain ecosystems of the world, as reported in temperate forest of China, Mexico and central Europe.^{9,17} At present time various anthropogenic and natural activities like-inefficient erosion of glaciers, soil and various environmental activities are responsible for reduction in mountain ranges height, which are responsible for modification and alternation of previous ecosystems. Trees play efficient and crucial role in defining and sustaining the structural and functional environment complexity and habitat heterogeneity in forest ecosystems of the Earth.¹⁸ Global warming, invasion of exotic species, anthropogenic activities and climatic changes are also responsible for alteration in vegetation composition in native ecosystem at various altitudinal and latitudinal ranges.

2. EFFECT OF ALTITUDINAL RANGES ON AVI-FAUNAL SPECIES DISTRIBUTION:- Avi-faunal species composition and distribution with altitudinal range is determined by biotic and abiotic environmental

factors such as elevation or height, prevailing wind intensity and direction, slope, temperature, humidity, rainfall and vegetation composition.¹⁹ Abiotic factors cause major effect on species distribution pattern at higher altitudinal ranges and latitudinal levels which also greatly influences diversity and distribution pattern at ecosystem and community levels. Different elevation gradient leads to changes in composition of species distribution and its pattern. Nöske *et al.* (2008)²⁰ studied different factors such as canopy area of trees and; altitude may influence changes of species composition among flora and fauna. Rahbek (1995)²¹ observed a monotypic decline in species number when altitude level increases. Brown and Gibson (1983)²² observed that the association between plants and animals and extrapolated the same obtaining a dome shaped curve relationship. When defined in relationship with increase in elevation with mid elevation peak of avi-faunal species richness. Species richness of birds decrease enormously at altitudes above 1000 meter; largely affected ones were insectivore species with sustainable declined in all feeding guild of birds.^{23,24} It was also observed that bird activities like feeding, foraging, breeding and singing patterns were also influenced by higher elevation levels. Grytnes and Ventaas (2002)²⁵ observed interpolated species occurrence between the highest and lowest altitude and concluded that it can cause artificial lump in species elevation curve. Slope shape and size also affected the number and variety of birds with increased altitude. It was observed that changes in the number and variety of birds with increased altitude can be co-related to uniqueness in eco-tone of different ecosystems. Elevation pattern among avian communities identified by Mc Cain (2007)²⁶ includes mid altitude peak, low altitude that has a mid altitude peak and low plateau common at the low altitude and decreasing pattern exhibited takes form of uni-modal peak at the center than at the base and top on quantifiable definition which can be defined as mid altitude peak pattern. High species richness occurred at low elevation ranges while high diversity was observed at the base of low plateau and mid altitude. Mc Cain and Grytnes (2010)²⁷ Observed a specific pattern followed by species shown along different elevations as a reflection of the relationship between different flora and fauna in the ecosystem.

Higher mountains generally have smaller land area and are more isolated and have simple vegetation composition as compared to the open or forest lands.

3. EFFECT OF ALTITUDINAL VARIATION ON DISTRIBUTION OF INVERTEBRATE AND VERTEBRATE PHYLUM:-

Variation in invertebrate and invertebrate species richness along altitudinal gradients²¹ and across taxonomic groups²⁸ and continents²⁹ reveals striking patterns of biodiversity distribution³⁰. Distribution patterns along elevation gradients have been noted in invertebrates³¹⁻³⁴ mammals^{23,35-39} birds⁴⁰⁻⁴⁵ and herpato-fauna⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸. In general it was observed that species richness along altitudinal gradients followed one of the three patterns namely- decreasing with altitude (monotonic decline), mid domain (hump-shaped pattern or curved at middle altitudinal ranges) and increasing with elevation,^{28,21} amongst which most widely observed pattern were mid domain peak and monotonic declination.^{21,43} Observing and analyzing the association between species richness and elevation gradient is necessary and important as it provides insights into the observed patterns and processes responsible for maintaining the relationship in between different species which in turn supports conservation efforts.^{28,49,50}

4. EXTENSION OF MOUNTAIN ECOSYSTEM AND SPECIES DISPERSAL:-

Mountain ecosystems comprises of approximately one fifth of the earth's surface out of which tropical mountain forests represents about 11% of tropical forests of the world.⁵¹⁻⁵³ Species richness and biodiversity was observed to be highly diverse in mountain ecosystem as compared to other ecosystems. Maximum biodiversity hot spots were also located at higher altitudinal and mountain ranges which consists of majority endemic floral and faunal species.⁵³⁻⁵⁵ Higher altitudinal ranges and mountain ecosystems were observed to have largely influenced distribution pattern and biodiversity curve.⁵⁶⁻⁶⁰ In our review studies it was found that altitude comprises of different multi abiotic factors that controls and regulates floral and faunal species distribution and its diversity pattern and ecology in the surrounding ecosystem.

5. EFFECT OF ALTITUDE VARIATION ON CLIMATIC CONDITIONS:-

Four main trends were observed at high elevation levels in relation to species

richness and environmental factors. Several factors predictably with increasing elevation were responsible for native climatic conditions out of which the most obvious was linear decrease in temperature at higher altitudinal ranges. Temperature decreases by an average 0.6°C at every 100 meter elevation level.⁶¹ Climatic variables such as temperature, rainfall, humidity and atmospheric pressure were also observed to be decreasing with gradual increase in elevation peak. Emission of solar radiations and fraction of UV radiations especially UV-B was seen to increase as altitude increases.^{24, 60} Other abiotic climatic factors such as light intensity, cloudiness, soil texture and depth (organic matter, humus content, available free nitrogen, carbon-nitrogen ratio) increases with altitude, whereas pH, mineralization and nitrification rates were observed to decrease when altitude range increases at higher elevation levels.^{9,24,60,62-65} All environmental factors were observed to be responsible for habitat alteration at various elevation levels which largely influences the distribution, diversity, dispersal patterns of various species and animal taxa.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁹ Relationship between elevation and species richness may also vary and majority depends upon species specific availability of suitable habitat like- herbaceous life forms which grows highly and diverse in more light intensity tolerant and shade intolerant species.^{67,70-74} Other climatic conditions and abiotic factors vary along mountain gradient but observed to have more complex relationship with altitude. Altitudinal variation largely influences annual precipitation at various ranges and create wider variety of micro environments.⁶¹ Precipitation can be in the form of rainfall, condensation from clouds, snowfall (e.g. horizontal rainfall pattern in clouded forests) its pattern slightly increases when elevations gradient increases.

6. EFFECT OF ALTITUDE VARIATION ON TETRAPOD LIFE:-

In present review studies it was observed that terrestrial tetra pods are predominantly distributed in a particular altitudinal range. Non flying small mammals (e.g. rodents, shrews etc.) were found to be abundant at mid elevation ranges²³ where as Pteropus and other flying mammals were evenly distributed at low elevation levels and sharply declined at mid elevation ranges.⁷⁵ Avian taxa follow all four common patterns of distribution and abundance of

species at higher altitudinal ranges⁴³ and reptiles predominantly declined at higher elevation levels.⁷⁶ Preliminary analysis of amphibians like Salamanders preferred mid elevation peaks where as other amphibians follow all four common distribution patterns evenly and with similar frequencies as it was the case with other tetrapods.

7. EFFECT OF ALTITUDINAL VARIATION ON EGG SIZE AND CLUTCH SIZE:-

One of the most frequently studied trait has been variation in egg clutch size with variation in avi-faunal species along latitudinal gradient⁷⁷; typically tropical species lay smaller egg clutch size as compared to northern temperate climatic conditions both intra and inter specifically.⁷⁸ Altitudinal gradient ranging from low to high altitude produces variety of species distribution patterns namely tropical-temperate gradient, lower temperatures, greater seasonality and high environmental variability for bird's behavior like-higher altitudinal resident birds having smaller breeding season as compared to low land birds.⁷⁹ Also it was reviewed that bird's egg clutch size variation increase elevation levels increasing from lowland to mountain ecosystem.

8. EFFECT OF HIGHER ELEVATION LEVEL ON ANIMAL PHYSIOLOGY:-

It was observed in our present review studies that lower temperature and oxygen availability at higher elevation levels can increase metabolic rates and thermoregulation in an organism while in contrast slow metabolic rates and high thermoregulation reduces reproductive effort through reduction in egg clutch size its mass and periods of development and times of hatchling.⁷⁹⁻⁸⁰ Lower atmospheric pressure at high elevation level leads to water loss from eggs and create hypoxic condition and increase water loss content from eggs during early developmental stages.⁸¹ Increased seasonality in temperature and precipitation at higher elevation level implies that favorable for breeding may be constrained to a shorter period at high elevations, thus limiting components of fecundity such as number of broods.^{79,82} Food availability play critical role for egg size, clutch size, incubation periods and nesting success as well as juvenile survivorship.⁸³ Food limiting factors and scarcity also reduces breeding strength among birds at higher elevation level due to colder

environment and hypoxic conditions.⁸⁴ Pattern of variation in nest predation risk across elevation gradients are unclear with studies reporting decreasing at higher elevations⁸⁵⁻⁸⁶ and increasing at higher elevations.⁸⁷ It was found in review studies that lower to higher altitudinal level highly influences animal physiology and behavioral biology.

CONCLUSION

Different altitudinal and latitudinal ranges highly influence the distribution and diversity patterns of floral and faunal composition. Altitudinal gradient also determines the distribution, density and abundance pattern of various invertebrate and vertebrate animals and as well as plant communities. Higher altitudinal ranges in habitat wide variety of floral and faunal species particularly of native nature due to which mountain ecosystems are occupied with maximum number of biodiversity hotspot areas. Our review studies conclude that high species richness and biodiversity plays essential and curial role for human existence and survival and are necessary for factors for ecosystem balance and stability. At present time over exploitation, habitat alteration, deforestation and natural disasters are becoming limiting factor for biodiversity decline at both regional and global levels.

REFERENCES

1. McNeely, G., Mille, K.R., Reid, W.V., Mittermeier, R.A., Werner, T.R. 1990. Conserving the World's Biological Diversity, IUCN. Gland.
2. Gaur, R.D., 1999. Flora of the District Garhwal Northwest Himalaya: with Ethanobotanical Notes. Trans Media, Srinagar, U.P., India.
3. Singh, J.S. 2002. The biodiversity crisis: a multifaceted review. *Current Science*. **82**:638-647.
4. Kumar, A., Sharma, M.P., Yang, T. 2018. Estimation of carbon stock for green house gas emissions from hydropower reservoirs. *Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk*. **10**: 3183-3319.
5. Kumar, A., Yang, T., Sharma, M.P. 2019. Long term prediction of green house gas risk to the Chinese hydro power reservoirs. *Sci. Total Environ*. **646(1)**:300-308.
6. Ayensu, E., Van, R.C., Laasen, D., Collins, M., Dearing, A., Fresco, L., Gadgil, M., Gitay, H., Glaser,

- G., Juma, C., Krebs, J., Lenton, R., Lubchenco, J., McNeely, J.A., Mooney, H.A., Pistrup Andersen, P., Ramos, M., Raven, P., Reid, W.V., Samper, C., Sarukhan, J., Schei, P., Tundisi, J.G., Watson, R.T., Guanhua, X., Zakri, A.H. 1999. *International ecosystem assessment. Science*. **286**:685-686.
7. Malik, Z. A. 2014. Phyto sociological Behaviour, Anthropogenic Disturbances and Regeneration Status along an Altitudinal Gradient in Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary (KWLS) and its Adjoining Areas. Ph.D. Thesis. HNB Garhwal University, Srinagar Uttarakhand.
8. Malik, Z.A., Hussain, A., Iqbal, K., Bhatt, A.B. 2014a. Species richness and diversity along the disturbance gradient in Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary and its adjoining areas in Garhwal Himalaya, India. *Int J. Curr. Res.* **6**:10918-10926.
9. Zhang, J.T., Xu, B., Li, M. 2013. Vegetation patterns and species diversity along elevational and disturbance gradients in the Baihua mountain Reserve, Beijing, China. *Mt. Res. Dev.* **33**(2):170-178.
10. Kumar, A., Sharma, M.P. 2016. Carbon stock estimation in the catchment of Kotlibhel Ahydro electric reservoir, Uttarakhand, India. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **134**:365-369.
11. Kumar, A., Sharma, M.P. 2017. Estimation of greenhouse gas emissions from Koteswar hydropower reservoir, India. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **189**(5):240.
12. Martin, P.S. 1958. A biogeography of reptiles and amphibians in the Gomez Farias region. Tamaulipas, Mexico. *Miscellaneous Publications of the Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan*. **101**: 1-102.
13. Wake, D.B., Papenfuss, T.J., and Lynch, J.F. 1992. Distribution of salamanders along elevational transects in Mexico and Guatemala. *Tulane Studies in Zoology & Botany*. **1**(suppl): 303-319.
14. Grytnes, J.A., Heegaard, E., & Ihlen, P. G. 2006. Species richness of vascular plants, bryophytes, and lichens along an altitudinal gradient in western Norway. *Acta Oecologica*. **29**: 241-246.
15. Gauthier, S., Grandpre, L.D., Bergeron, Y. 2000. Differences in forest composition in two boreal forest eco regions of Quebec. *Journal of Vegetation Science*. **11**:781-790.
16. Kharkwal, G. 2009. Qualitative analysis of tree species in evergreen forests of Kumaun Himalaya, Uttarakhand, India. *African Journal of Plant Science*, **3**(3):049-052.
17. Sabatini, F. M., Jimenez Alfaro, B., Burrascano, S., Lora, A., Chytrý, M. 2018. Beta diversity of Central European forests decreases along an elevational gradient due to the variation in local community assembly processes. *Ecography*. **41**:1038-1048.
18. Jones, C. G., Lawton, J.H., Shachak, M., 1997. Positive and negative effects of organisms as physical ecosystem engineers: positive interactions in communities. *Ecology*. **78**(7):1946-1957.
19. Poulsen, B. O. 2002. Avian richness and abundance in temperate Danish forest: tree variables important to birds and their conservation. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. **11**:1551-1566.
20. Noske, N. M., Hilt, N., Werner, F. A., Brehm, G., Fiedler, K., Sipman, H. J. M., and Gradstein, S. R., 2008. Disturbance effects on diversity in montane forest of Ecuador: sessile epiphytes versus mobile moths. *Basic and Applied Ecology*. **9**:4-12.
21. Rahbek, C. 1995. The elevational gradient of species richness: a uniform pattern? *Ecography* **18**(2): 200-205.
22. Brown, J. H., & Gibson, A. C. 1983. Biogeography. Sunderland. Sinauer Associates.
23. McCain, C.M. 2005. Elevational gradients in diversity of small mammals. *Ecology*. **86**: 366-372.
24. Körner, C. 2007. The use of 'altitude' in ecological research. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*. **22**:569-574.
25. Grytnes, J.A., Vetaas, O.R. 2002. Species richness and altitude: a comparison between simulation models and interpolated plant species richness along the Himalayan altitude gradient, Nepal. *American Nature*. **159**: 294-304.
26. McCann, K. 2007. Protecting biodiversity structure. *Nature* **446**(7131): 29-29.

27. **McCain C.M., and Grytnes, J.A., 2010.** Elevational gradients in species richness. In: Encyclopedia of Life Sciences (ELS). Chic ester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 1- 10.
28. **Stevens, G.C. 1992.** The elevational gradient in altitudinal range: an extension of Rapoport's latitudinal rule to altitude. *The American Naturalist*. **140(6)**: 893-911.
29. **Cavarzere, V., and Silveira, L.F. 2012.** Bird species diversity in the Atlantic forest of Brazil is not explained by the mid-domain effect. *Zoologia*. **29(4)**: 285-292.
30. **Sanders, N.J., and Rahbek, C. 2012.** The patterns and causes of elevational diversity gradients. *Ecography*. **35**: 1-3.
31. **Sanders, N.J. 2002.** Elevational gradients in ant species richness: area, geometry, and Rapoport's rule. *Ecography*. **25(1)**: 25-32.
32. **Khan, Z. H., Raina, R.H., Dar, M.A., & Ramamurthy, V.V. 2011.** Diversity and distribution of butterflies from Kashmir Himalayas. *Journal of Insect Science*. **24(1)**: 45-55.
33. **Levanoni, O., Levin, N., Pe'er, G., Turbe, A., and Kark, S. 2011.** Can we predict butterfly diversity along an elevation gradient from space? *Ecography*. **34(3)**: 372-383.
34. **Carneiro, E., Mielke, O.H.H., Casagrande, M.M., and Fiedler, K. 2014.** Community structure of Skipper butterflies (Lepidoptera, Hesperidae) along elevational gradients in Brazilian Atlantic forest reflects vegetation type rather than altitude. *PLoS One*. **9(10)**: 108-207.
35. **Patterson, B.D., Pacheco, V. and Solari, S. 1996.** Distribution pattern of bats along an elevational gradient in the Andes of southeastern Peru. *Journal of Zoology*. **240**: 637-658.
36. **Patterson, B.D., Stotz, D.F., Solari, S., Fitzpatrick, J.W. and Pacheco, V. 1998.** Contrasting patterns of elevational zonation for birds and mammals in Andes of Southern Peru. *Journal of Biogeography*. **25(3)**: 593-607.
37. **Brown, J.H. 2001.** Mammals on mountainsides: elevational patterns of diversity. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*. **10**: 101-109.
38. **McCain, C.M. 2004b.** The mid-domain effect applied to elevational gradients: species richness of small mammals in Costa Rica. *Journal of Biogeography*. **31**: 19-31.
39. **McCain, C.M. 2006.** Do elevational range size, abundance and body size patterns mirror those documented for geographic ranges? A case study using Costa Rican rodents. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* **8**:435-454.
40. **Terborgh, J. 1977.** Bird species diversity on an Andean elevational gradient. *Ecology*. **58(5)**: 1007-1019.
41. **Blake, J.G., and Loiselle, B.A. 2000.** Diversity of birds along an elevational gradient in the Cordillera Central, Costa Rica. *Auk*. **117**: 663-686.
42. **Lee, P.F., Ding, T.S., Hsu, F.H., & Geng, S. 2004.** Breeding bird species richness in Taiwan: distribution on gradients of elevation, primary productivity and urbanization. *Journal of Biogeography*. **31**: 307- 314.
43. **McCain, C.M. 2009.** Global analysis of bird elevational diversity. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*. **18**: 346-360.
44. **Joshi, K., and Bhatt, D. 2015.** Avian species distribution along elevation at Doon valley (foothills of western Himalayas), Uttarakhand, and its association with vegetation structure. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Biodiversity*. **8(2)**: 158-167.
45. **Montano-Centellas, F.A., and Garitano-Zavala, A., 2015.** Andean bird responses to human disturbances along an elevational gradient. *Acta Oecologica*. **65(66)**: 51-60.
46. **Hofer, U., Bersier, L.F., Borcard, D. 1999.** Spatial organization of a herpetofauna on an elevational gradient revealed by null model tests. *Ecology*. **80(3)**: 976-988.
47. **Chettri, B., Bhupathy, S., and Acharya, B.K. 2010.** Distribution pattern of reptiles along an eastern Himalayan elevation gradient, India. *Acta Oecologica*. **36(1)**: 16-22.
48. **Srinivas, G. 2011.** Distribution pattern of amphibians in Megamalai landscape, Western Ghats, Tamil Nadu. PhD Thesis. Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, India, 144pp.

49. **Raman, T.R.S., Joshi, N.V., and Sukumar, R. 2005.** Tropical rainforest bird community structure in relation to altitude, tree species composition and null models in the Western Ghats, India. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*. **102(2)**: 145-157.
50. **Acharya, B., Sanders, N.J., Vijayan, L., and Chettri, B. 2011.** Elevational gradients in bird diversity in the eastern Himalaya: an evaluation of distribution patterns and their underlying mechanisms. *PLoS One* **6(12)**:290-297
51. **Doumenge, C., Gilmour, D., Ruiz Perez, M., Blockhus, J. 1995.** Tropical montane cloud forests: conservation status and management issues. 24-37. In: Hamilton LS, Juvik JO, Scatena FN (eds) Tropical montane cloud forests. *Ecological Studies*. 110. Springer, New-York.
52. **Tse-ring, K., Sharma, E., Chettri, N., Shrestha, A.B. 2010.** Climate change vulnerability of mountain ecosystems in the Eastern Himalayas. Synthesis report. Kathmandu: International centre for integrated mountain development (ICIMOD).
53. **Price, M.F., Gratzer, G., Duguma, L.A., Kohler, T., Maselli, D., Romeo, R., (eds) 2011.** Mountain forests in a changing world-realizing values, addressing challenges. International year of forests 2011. FAO, Rome.
54. **Bussmann, R.W. 2001.** The montane forests of Reserva Biológica San Francisco (Zamora Chinchipe, Ecuador)-vegetation zonation and natural regeneration. *Erde*. **132**:11-24
55. **Admassu, A., Teshome, S., Ensermu, K., Abyot, D., Alemayhu, K. 2016.** Floristic composition and plant community types of Agama Forest, an Afromontane Forest in Southwest Ethiopia. *Journal of Ecology and Natural Environment*. **8**:55-69.
56. **Doumenge, C. 1998.** Forest diversity, distribution, and dynamique in the Itombwe Mountains, South-Kivu, Congo Democratic Republic. *Mount Res Dev*. **18**:249-264.
57. **Eilu, G., Hafashimana, D. L. N., Kasenene, J. M. 2004.** Density and species diversity of trees in four tropical forests of the Albertine rift, western Uganda. *Diversity and Distribution*. **10**:303-312.
58. **Hemp, A. 2009.** Climate change and its impact on the forests of Kilimanjaro. *African Journal of Ecology*. **47**:3-10
59. **Pickering, C.M., Green, K. 2009.** Vascular plant distribution in relation to topography, soils and micro-climate at five GLORIA sites in the Snowy Mountains, Australia. *Aust J Bot*. **57**:189-199.
60. **Chain-Guadarrama, A., Finegan, B., Vilchez, S., Casanoves, F. 2012.** Determinants of rain-forest floristic variation on an altitudinal gradient in southern Costa Rica. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*. **28**:463-481.
61. **Barry, R. G. 2008.** Mountain Weather and Climate 3rd edn (Cambridge Univ. Press).
62. **Lebrun, J., Gilbert, G. 1954.** Une classification écologique des forêts du Congo.-Publications INEAC; Série Sciences. N° 63.
63. **Doležal, J., and Šr?tek, M. 2002.** Altitudinal changes in composition and structure of mountain-temperate vegetation: a case study from the Western Carpathians. *Plant Ecology*. **158**:201-221.
64. **Unger, M., Homeier, J., Leuschner, C. 2013.** Relationships among leaf area index, below-canopy light availability and tree diversity along a transect from tropical lowland to montane forests in NE Ecuador. *Tropical Ecology*. **54**:33-45.
65. **Zhang, W., Huang, D., Wang, R., Liu, J., Du, N., 2016.** Altitudinal patterns of species diversity and phylogenetic diversity across temperate mountain forests of Northern China. *PLoS One*. **11**:0159995.
66. **Grime, J.P. 1977.** Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its relevance to ecological and evolutionary theory. *American Nature*, **111**:1169-1194.
67. **Kessler, M. 2000.** Elevational gradients in species richness and endemism of selected plant groups in the central Bolivian Andes. *Plant Ecology*. **149**:181-193.
68. **Pausas, J.G., & Austin, M.P. 2001.** Patterns of plant species richness in relation to different environments: an appraisal. *Journal Vegetation Science*. **12**:153-166.
69. **Chawla, A., Rajkumar, S., Singh, K. N., Lal, B., Singh, R.D., Thukral, A.K. 2008.** Plant species diversity along an altitudinal gradient of Bhabha Valley

Biospectra : Vol. 16(1), March, 2021

An International Biannual Refereed Journal of Life Sciences

- in western Himalaya. *Journal of Mount Science*. **5**:157-177.
70. **Pierlot, R. 1966.** Structure et composition de forêts denses d'Afrique Centrale, spécialement celles du Kivu. 1966. Belgique, Académie royale de Bruxelles.
71. **Grytnes, J. A. 2000.** Fine-scale vascular species richness in different alpine vegetation types: relationships with biomass and cover. *Journal of Vegetation Science*. **11**:87-92
72. **Jiang Z, Ma K, & Anand, M. 2016.** Can the physiological tolerance hypothesis explain herb richness patterns along an elevation gradient? A trait-based analysis. *Community Ecology*. **17**:17-23.
73. **Lomolino, M.V. 2001.** Elevation gradients of species-density: historical and prospective views. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*. **10**:3-13
74. **Salas-Morales, S.H. and Meave, J. A. 2012.** Elevational patterns in the vascular flora of a highly diverse region in southern Mexico. *Plant Ecol*. **213**:1209-1220
75. **Mc Cain, C.M. 2007b.** Could temperature and water availability drive elevational species richness? A global case study for bats. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*. **16**:1-13.
76. **McCain, C.M. 2010.** Global analysis of reptile elevational diversity. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*. **19**: 541-553.
77. **Lack, D. 1947.** Darwin finches: An Essay on the General Biological Theory of evolution: Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
78. **Böhning-Gaese, K., Halbe, B., Lemoine, N., & Oberrath, R. 2000.** Factors influencing the clutch size, number of broods and annual fecundity of North American and European land birds. *Evol. Ecol. Res.* **2**:823-839.
79. **Martin, K. 2001.** Wildlife in alpine and sub-alpine habitats. *Wildlife Habitats and Relationships in Oregon and Washington* (eds D.H. Johnson & T.A. O'Neil), pp. 239-260. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, OR, USA.
80. **Conway, C.J., & Martin, T.E. 2000.** Evolution of passerine incubation behavior: influence of food, temperature, and nest predation. *Evolution*. **54**: 670-685.
81. **Rahn, H., & Ar.,A. 1974.** The avian egg: incubation time and water loss. *Condor*. **76**: 147-152.
82. **Hardesty, J.L. 2008.** Seasonality in Equatorial Cloud Forest Birds. ProQuest.
83. **Martin, T.E. 1987.** Food as a limit on breeding birds: a life-history perspective. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*. **18**:453-487.
84. **Chalfoun, A.D., & Martin, T.E. 2007.** Latitudinal variation in avian incubation attentiveness and a test of the food limitation hypothesis. *Animal Behaviour*. **73**:579-585.
85. **Badyaev, A.V. 1997.** Avian life history variation along altitudinal gradients: an example with cardueline inches. *Oecologia*. **111**:365-374.
86. **Martin, T.E., & Briskie, J.V. 2009.** Predation on dependent offspring: a review of the consequences for mean expression and phenotypic plasticity in avian life history traits. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. **1168**:201-217.
87. **Sandercock, B.K., Martin, K., & Hannon, S.J. 2005.** Life history strategies in extreme environments: comparative demography of arctic and alpine ptarmigan. *Ecology*. **86**: 2176-2186.
