



**ISSN : 0973-7057**

**Int. Database Index: 663 www.mjl.clarivate.com**

## **Portfolio function of Bihar hairy caterpillar species, *Spilosoma* spp. with respect to its species diversity profile in Saharsa, Bihar, India**

**Manisha Kumari\***

Department of Zoology, B.N.Mandal University, Madhepura, Bihar, India

*Received : 2<sup>nd</sup> December, 2019 ; Revised : 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2020*

**Abstract:** Portfolio function is an investment term usually applied used in finance management but due to the unpredicted loss & gain of biodiversity, population biologist and environmentalist have started using this concept in biodiversity studies. When more diversity is added to any investment portfolio, less risk is involved, hence community rich in biodiversity is considered to be rich in bioresources with ample security & insurance in contrast to those having less diversity of species. The functional concept of community & ecosystem is almost equal to well organised portfolios of the nature (Frank Figge 2004).<sup>1</sup> In the present research paper the author has ventured to apply the portfolio theory in the population diversity of Bihar hairy caterpillar, *Spilosoma* spp. randomly sampled from different agricultural & weedy plots of Saharsa. Eight species sampled from four zones of this area have collective species diversity index value (S-W=H) of 0.7002 (Z-1), 0.7627 (Z-2), 0.7909 (Z-3) & 0.8564 (Z-4) calculated by applying standard statistical equation to the sampled population. The value reflects that zone-4 is richest in terms of *Spilosoma* spp population thriving on different host weeds & crops in this area but largely destroying the host asset (weeds & crops). The diversity value of the pest in zone-1 is poorest that also indicates the smart portfolio management of the nature with respect to the population of weeds & host crops. Crisscross interrelationship & implications of such diversity of the pest & host in the portfolio function of the hairy caterpillar has been discussed under the light of investment policies of nature in the communities.

**Keywords:** Portfolio function, species diversity, investment policies, *Spilosoma* spp, post weeds & crops, Saharsa district

### **INTRODUCTION**

Portfolio function is a very advanced concept of asset and liability management with precise monitoring of loss & gain of the resources in nature if considered to be the ultimate reserve bank of all the resources existing in it.<sup>2</sup> One of the most pervasive themes in insect ecology is that biological diversity stabilizes ecosystem processes and the service they provide to society, a concept that has become a common argument for biodiversity conservation. Species rich communities are thought to produce more temporally

stable ecosystem service because of the complementary or independent dynamics among species that perform similar ecosystem functions. Such variance dampening within communities is referred to as portfolio effect and is analogous to the effects of asset diversity on the stability of financial portfolios<sup>3</sup>. In ecology, these arguments have focused on the effects of species diversity on ecosystem stability but have not considered the importance of biologically relevant extirpation are probably at least three orders of magnitude higher than species extinction rates. So there is a pressing need to clarify how population and life history diversity affect the performance of individual species in providing important ecosystem services.

\*Corresponding author :

Phone : 07004317966

E-mail : todeardeepak70@gmail.com

sonubaba2008@gmail.com

Variability in annual Bristol Bay salmon returns is 2.2 times lower than it would be if the system consisted of single homogenous population rather than the several hundred discrete populations it currently consists of. Our results demonstrate the critical importance of maintaining population diversity for stabilizing ecosystem services and securing the economies and livelihoods that depends on them. All the resources in the nature whether living or non living under the portfolio function are considered to be natural assets. And low or high population density of any assets becomes the prerogative of the nature. Beyond the control of human being but within the limits of research thought of human minds, the increase & decrease of any resource species is directly related to their positive or negative performance in the environment which immediately concern the adjoining members of the species lying in that portfolio.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

In the present investigation, various species of *Spilosoma* has been surveyed and sampled along with their host weeds and crops of agricultural fields from four different zones of Saharsa district like zone-1 (South Saharsa)- Salkhua, Banma Itiahri, zone-2 (West)- Mahishi, Kahara & Simri Bakhtiarapur, zone-3 (North)-Nauhatta & Satar Kataiya & zone-4 (East)-Patarghat, Sonbarsa, Saur bazar, through large quadrat sampling and random netting methods. The numerical count of the individuals of pest species in live condition thriving on the specific weed during sampling has been recorded in the raw data diversity computation tables 1-4 (zone specific) and the scientific identification of the species were done on the spot as far as possible & also in the lab by preserving & bringing few representatives of the samples for identification with standard key of Lepidoptera. Shannon Weiner index is also known as Shannon Weaver index.<sup>4,5,6</sup>

The types of host weeds were also ascertained with the help of local people & botanist to co-relate their abundance with the diversity of the *Spilosoma* pest as well as interpret the portfolio function as per natural bioresource asset investment policies of the nature or environment. The four sampling zones of various species of *Spilosoma* along with the host weeds have been displayed in the Fig.1 of Tehsil map of urban area of Saharsa district. The number of individuals of pest species along with the host weeds counted in each quadrat sampling or netting and their

species identity have been presented in the raw data table no. 1. Table no. 2 reflects the statistical computation of species wise relative abundance whereas Shannon Wiener diversity has been presented in the table no.3. The graphical representation of rank abundance curve has been furnished in the figure of the histogram.

Following statistical tools have been used for the purpose:

**a) S.W. diversity index: -**

$$H = -\sum p_i \log p_i$$

Where, H = species diversity,

$p_i$  = mean of individual species procured by the formula  $n/N$ ,

$\log p_i$  is the log product of  $p_i$  (mean of individual species).

**b) Relative abundance =  $n_i/N \times 100$**

Where,  $N_i$  = number of individuals of a species.

**c) Portfolio function index (PF) = No. of host plants/H**

**d) 3-D histogram graphical co-relation between species diversity of *Spilosoma* and population dynamics of host plants.**

#### RESULT & DISCUSSION

The results of species diversity by applying S-W diversity statistical tool in different zones of sampling in Saharsa district furnish the information that zone-1 is having lowest diversity value of the pest (0.7002) thriving on 13-15 host plants per quadrat sample. In contrast to this zone-4 is having highest diversity value (0.8564) for the pest. Surprisingly the portfolio function index (PF) which is a ratio of host population dynamics vs pest species diversity reflected just reverse trend in the form of highest Pf value in zone-1 whereas lowest in zone-4.

The relative performance of the pest species diversity on the selected host plants & the ability of the hosts to withstand the pest attack are two important hand-in-glove phenomena that keep operating the portfolio function of the habitat. Apropos, the species diversity of the pest in highly dense population host weeds is relatively low, although the rich availability of food should have expectedly encouraged the growth of pest that didn't happen. Other components in the portfolio of the habitat operating as diversified investment channels such as metrological conditions, anthropogenic attention & pest control measures taken up in advance to maintain the habitat ecosystem related to the host plants, weeds & other economic trees susceptible to the attack of Bihar hairy caterpillar, *Spilosoma* spp.

**Fig-1. Tehsil map of Saharsa, Bihar showing four zones of sampling of *Spilosoma* spp. along with host weeds & plants**



**PF index (portfolio function) = number of host plants per quadrat/ & =13/0.7002=18.56**

**Table 2: Zone specific diversity index values of Bihar hairy caterpillar *Spilosoma spp.* of Saharsa, in zone 2 (West Saharsa)-Mahishi, Kahara & Simri Bakhtiarpur**

Scientific name as per the key	Taxonomic position	Name of the host weeds/plants	Quadrat e plot no.	Number of adult insects per quadrat of weeds(15-20 plants)	Relative abundance % $(n/N \times 100)$	$p_i(n/N)$	$\log p_i$	$p_i \cdot \log p_i$	H
<i>Spilosoma congrua</i>	Lepidoptera	Tobacco	Z-2/QP-i	11	22.4	0.224	-0.649	-0.1453	0.7627
<i>Spilosoma fuscipennis</i>	Lepidoptera	Onion	Z-2/QP-ii	08	16.32	0.163	-0.787	-0.1282	
<i>Spilosoma virginica</i>	Lepidoptera	Papaya	Z-2/QP-ii	07	14.2	0.142	-0.847	-0.1202	
<i>Spilosoma erythrozona</i>	Lepidoptera	Mango	Z-2/QP-iii	04	8.16	0.081	-0.091	-0.0073	
<i>Spilosoma urticae</i>	Lepidoptera	Tobacco	Z-2/QP-iv	06	12.2	0.122	-0.913	-0.1113	
<i>Spilosoma lubricipeda</i>	Lepidoptera	Papaya	Z-2/QP-ii	08	16.32	0.163	-0.787	-0.1282	
<i>Spilosoma erythrophleps</i>	Lepidoptera	Mango	Z-2/QP-iii	01	2.04	0.020	-1.698	-0.0339	
<i>Spilosoma obliqua</i>	Lepidoptera	Garlic	Z-2/QP-ii	04	8.16	0.081	-1.091	-0.0883	
Total				49					

PF index (portfolio function) = number of host plants per quadrat /  $\& = 13/0.7627 = 17.04$

**Table 3: Zone specific diversity index values of Bihar hairy caterpillar *Spilosoma spp.* of Saharsa, in zone 3 (North Saharsa)-Nauhatta & Satar Kataiya**

Scientific name as per the key	Taxonomic position	Name of the host weeds/plants	Quadrat e plot no.	Number of adult insects per quadrat of weeds(10-15 plants)	Relative abundance % $(n/N \times 100)$	$P_i(n/N)$	$\log p_i$	$P_i \cdot \log p_i$	H
<i>Spilosoma congrua</i>	Lepidoptera	Tobacco	Z-3/QP-i	11	19.29	0.192	-0.716	-0.1368	0.7909
<i>Spilosoma fuscipennis</i>	Lepidoptera	Onion	Z-3/QP-ii	08	14.03	0.140	-0.853	-0.1194	
<i>Spilosoma virginica</i>	Lepidoptera	Papaya	Z-3/QP-ii	07	12.2	0.122	-0.913	-0.1113	
<i>Spilosoma erythrozona</i>	Lepidoptera	Mango	Z-3/QP-iii	04	7.01	0.070	-1.154	-0.0807	
<i>Spilosoma urticae</i>	Lepidoptera	Tobacco	Z-3/QP-iv	06	10.5	0.105	-0.978	-0.1026	
<i>Spilosoma lubricipeda</i>	Lepidoptera	Papaya	Z-3/QP-ii	06	10.5	0.105	-0.978	-0.1026	
<i>Spilosoma erythrophleps</i>	Lepidoptera	Mango	Z-3/QP-iii	05	8.77	0.087	-0.060	-0.0052	
<i>Spilosoma obliqua</i>	Lepidoptera	Garlic	Z-3/QP-ii	10	17.5	0.175	-0.756	-0.1323	
				57					

PF index (portfolio function) = number of host plants per quadrat/  $\& = 13/0.7909 = 16.43$

**Table 4: Zone specific diversity index values of Bihar hairy caterpillar *Spilosoma spp.* of Saharsa, in zone 4 (East)-Patarghat, Sonbarsa, Saur bazar**

Scientific name as per the key	Taxonomic position	Name of the host weeds/plants	Quadrat e plot no.	Number of adult insects per quadrat of weeds(10-15 plants)	Relative abundance % $(n/N \times 100)$	$P_i(n/N)$	$\log p_i$	$P_i \times \log p_i$	H
<i>Spilosoma congrua</i>	Lepidoptera	Tobacco	Z-4/QP-i	11	21.56	0.215	-0.667	-0.1434	0.8564
<i>Spilosoma fuscipennis</i>	Lepidoptera	Onion	Z-4/QP-ii	08	15.68	0.156	-0.806	-0.1257	
<i>Spilosoma virginica</i>	Lepidoptera	Papaya	Z-4/QP-ii	07	13.7	0.137	-0.863	-0.1182	
<i>Spilosoma erythrozona</i>	Lepidoptera	Mango	Z-4/QP-iii	04	7.84	0.078	-1.107	-0.0863	
<i>Spilosoma urticae</i>	Lepidoptera	Tobacco	Z-4/QP-iv	06	11.76	0.117	-0.931	-0.1089	
<i>Spilosoma lubricipeda</i>	Lepidoptera	Papaya	Z-4/QP-ii	09	17.64	0.176	-0.754	-0.1327	
<i>Spilosoma erythrophleps</i>	Lepidoptera	Mango	Z-4/QP-iii	02	3.92	0.039	-1.408	-0.0549	
<i>Spilosoma obliqua</i>	Lepidoptera	Garlic	Z-4/QP-ii	04	7.84	0.078	-1.107	-0.0863	
Total				51					

PF index (portfolio function) = number of host plants per quadrat/ & =  $13/0.8564 = 15.17$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is extremely thankful to her guide Dr. B.P. Yadav 'Bipra', Department of Zoology L.N.M.S College Birpur (Supaul) & Prof. Arun Kumar, Head of the Department of Zoology, B.N. Mandal University, Madhepura for helping in completion of this research paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. Figge, F. 2004. Bio-folio: applying portfolio theory to biodiversity. *Biodiversity and conservation*. 13:827-849
2. Daniel E. Schindler *et.al*. 2010. Population diversity & the portfolio effect in an exploited species: *Nature* 09060 Vol465/3.pp, 609-613, Macmillan publisher.
3. Gregory H. Aplet and Peter S. McKinley. 2017. A portfolio approach to managing ecological risks of global change. *Ecosystem Health & sustainability*. 3(2): 1-15.
4. Hubbell, S.P. 2001. *The unified neutral theory of biodiversity & biogeography*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.
5. Magurran, A.E. 2004. *Measuring biological diversity*. Blackwell Scientific. Oxford.
6. Peter Stiling. 2002. "Ecology: theories and Applications", 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, Eastern Economy Edition, Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi.

\*\*\*

